UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

JOHN LARRY SANDERS and SPECIALTY FERTILIZER PRODUCTS, LLC,

Plaintiffs/Counterclaim Defendants,

v.

Case No. 4:09-cv-00016-JTM

THE MOSAIC COMPANY, CARGILL, INC., and CARGILL FERTILIZER, INC.,

Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs.

EXPEDITED RELIEF REQUESTED

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO OPPOSE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY (EXPEDITED RELIEF REQUESTED)

Plaintiffs (collectively "SFP") move this Court for an extension of time to respond to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity. In support of this motion, SFP states the following:

- 1. Defendants filed their Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity on November 1, 2011. (See Doc. No. 123). Accordingly, SFP's opposition to that motion is presently due on November 25, 2011. L.R. 56.1(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(d).
- 2. SFP has moved to strike Defendants' summary judgment motion. (Doc. No. 127). That motion is based on the procedural posture of this case being administratively closed with no scheduling order authorizing either dispositive motions or the resumption of discovery. Requiring SFP to respond to the motion for summary judgment will be prejudicial to SFP for the reasons stated in that co-pending motion. Thus, until the Court rules on SFP's Motion to Strike, SFP should be relieved of its obligation to oppose Defendants' summary judgment motion by the present

deadline. Therefore, SFP requests an extension of time of two weeks from the date the Court issues and order on SFP's Motion to Strike in which to oppose Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment

- 3. Alternatively, in the event the Court is not inclined to grant SFP the extension requested above, SFP requests an extension of time of two weeks, until December 9, 2011, to oppose Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. A two week extension is necessary to permit SFP to adequately prepare its opposition to Defendants' motion because SFP's opposition is presently due the day after Thanksgiving and approximately contemporaneously with SFP's non-extendable response date to the Patent Office in the pending *ex parte* reexamination.
- 4. Plaintiff has not previously sought any extension of time for opposing Defendants' summary judgment motion.

Wherefore, SFP respectfully requests that the Court grant SFP an extension of time to oppose Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment.

Dated: November 11, 2011 Respectfully Submitted,

s/Scott R. Brown

Scott R. Brown, Mo. Bar #51733
Jennifer C. Bailey, Mo. Bar #53574
Matthew B. Walters, Mo. Bar #59812
HOVEY WILLIAMS LLP
10801 Mastin Boulevard, Suite 1000
84 Corporate Woods
Overland Park, Kansas 66210
T: (913) 647–9050
F: (913) 647–9057

John M. Collins, Mo. Bar #24503 HOVEY WILLIAMS LLP 12796 N. SeaCliff Place Oro Valley, Arizona 85755 T: (913) 647-9050 F: (913) 647-9057 E-Mail: jmc@hoveywilliams.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS
JOHN LARRY SANDERS AND
SPECIALTY FERTILIZER PRODUCTS, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 11th day of November, 2011, the foregoing was filed electronically with the Clerk of the Court via the CM/ECF system, which sent notification of such filing to the following:

Heather Redmond
Peter Lancaster
Devan V. Padmanabhan
Mariah L. Reynolds
Dorsey & Whitney LLP

Email: Redmond.Heather@dorsey.com
Email: Lancaster.Peter@dorsey.com
padmanabhan.devan@dorsey.com
reynolds.mariah@dorsey.com

50 South Sixth Street Suite 1500

Minneapolis, MN 55402

J. David Wharton

Victoria L. Smith

Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP

1201 W. L. + Green to the control of the cont

1201 Walnut Street Suite 2700 P. O. Box 419251 Kansas City, MO 64106-2150

s/Scott R. Brown
Scott R. Brown